

United States Patent and Trademark Office

mN

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILIN	G DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/646,350	08/22/2003		John Overton	11958-60	8364
Joseph F. Hetz	7590	06/21/2007	EXAMINER		
BRINKS HOF	ER GILSO	N & LIONE	PULLIAM, CHRISTYANN R		
P.O. BOX 10395 CHICAGO, IL 60610				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,	,		. 2165		
				MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
				06/21/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
•	10/646,350	OVERTON ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Christyann Pulliam	2165					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address					
Period for Reply		VO. 00 THEFT ((00) DAY(
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ting the state of the sta	N. mely filed n the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on Augu	st 22, 2003 thru May 29, 2007.	,					
,	·						
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	- ''						
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	.53 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims	1						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-14</u> is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-14</u> is/are rejected.	S)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-14</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.						
Application Papers		:					
9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on 22 August 2003 is/are:		to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	_						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a	a)-(d) or (f).					
1. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	rity documents have been receiv	ved in this National Stage					
application from the International Bureau	•						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receiv	red.					
•							
Attachment(s)		•					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summar Paper No(s)/Mail [
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>9/16/2004 and 5/29/2007</u>. 	5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:						

DETAILED ACTION

- 1. Claims 1-14 are pending as filed August 22, 2003.
- Two Information Disclosure Statements were filed September 16, 2004 and May
 29, 2007.

Priority

3. Applicant's claim for the benefit of a prior-filed application under 35 U.S.C. 119(e) or under 35 U.S.C. 120, 121, or 365(c) is acknowledged. Applicant has claimed priority as a continuation to co-pending U.S. parent application, Serial No. 10/102179, now abandoned. The parent application claims priority as a continuation to a U.S. application, Serial No. 09/111,896, filed July 8, 1998.

Drawings

4. New corrected drawings in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in this application because the drawings contain improper shading and handwritten text. See 37 CFR 1.84 for drawing requirements. Applicant is advised to employ the services of a competent patent draftsperson outside the Office, as the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office no longer prepares new drawings. The corrected drawings are required in reply to

Art Unit: 2165

the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The requirement for corrected drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Specification

The Abstract is too long. Applicant is reminded of the proper language and 5. format for an abstract of the disclosure. The abstract should be in narrative form and generally limited to a single paragraph on a separate sheet within the range of 50 to 150 words. It is important that the abstract not exceed 150 words in length since the space provided for the abstract on the computer tape used by the printer is limited. The form and legal phraseology often used in patent claims, such as "means" and "said," should be avoided. The abstract should describe the disclosure sufficiently to assist readers in deciding whether there is a need for consulting the full patent text for details.

Claim Objections

6. Claim 13 is objected to because of the following informalities: awkward grammar - "the records creating is creating records". Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

7. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Application/Control Number: 10/646,350 Page 4

Art Unit: 2165 ·

8. Claims 1, 7 and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. The body of the claim does not fulfill the preamble. The preamble refers to "global indices", which are not mentioned in the body of the claim at all.

9. Claim 1 and 13 recites the limitation "the data generating equipment" in the "registering" step. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 10. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 11. Claims 1-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over <u>Experton</u>, U.S. Patent No. 5,995,965 (hereinafter <u>Experton</u>) and in view of <u>Cahill</u> et al., U.S. Patent No. 5,940,844 (hereinafter <u>Cahill</u>).

Art Unit: 2165

As for Claim 1, Experton teaches:

A method for establishing and retrieving data based on global indices (See e.g. Experton - col. 7, lines 36-51); a plurality of data generating devices on the network (See e.g. col. 4, lines 10-25 and col. 10, lines 3-7) and retrieving data generated by the plurality of data generating devices by searching for instances of the unique user ID (See e.g. Experton – col. 9, lines 10-46 and col. 10, lines 3-52).

Experton does not expressly teach establishing, registering a device ID and establishing a user ID when the user first uses a data generating device. However, Cahill teaches:

establishing a unique device ID for each ... data generating devices on a network (See e.g. <u>Cahill</u> – col. 48, lines 12-18);

registering the unique device ID of each ... data generating devices on the network on at least one server connected to the network when the data generating equipment is first used on the network (See e.g. <u>Cahill</u> – col. 48, lines 12-18);

establishing a unique user ID for each user of the data generating devices when the user uses one ... data generating devices for the first time (See e.g. <u>Cahill</u> – col. 48, lines 19/21).

Experton and Cahill are from the analogous art of document retrieval. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made having the teachings of Experton and Cahill to have combined Experton and Cahill.

The motivation to combine Experton and Cahill comes from the desire to provide central

Art Unit: 2165

database access to files over a network. <u>Cahill</u> enhances <u>Experton</u> by providing the details of ID creation.

As for Claim 2, <u>Experton</u> as modified by <u>Cahill</u> teaches the parent Claim 1.

<u>Experton</u> also teaches wherein establishing the unique user ID further comprises combining the device ID of the data generating device being used by the user with a date/time stamp of the first use by the user (See e.g. col. 6, lines 3-18). <u>Cahill</u> also allows the system administrator to monitor time of user logins as well as workstation information (See e.g. <u>Cahill</u> – Figure 6).

As for Claim 3, <u>Experton</u> as modified teaches the parent claims. <u>Experton</u> also teaches further comprising storing the unique user ID on a token given to the user (See e.g. <u>Experton</u> col. 4, lines 40-50 and col. 5, lines 15-25).

As for Claim 4, <u>Experton</u> as modified teaches the parent claims. <u>Experton</u> also teaches further comprising the user using the token with the unique user ID for all subsequent uses of any of the plurality of data generating devices (See e.g. <u>Experton</u> col. 4, lines 40-50 and col. 5, lines 15-25).

As for Claim 5, <u>Experton</u> as modified teaches the parent claims. <u>Experton</u> also teaches wherein the data generated is medical data concerning the user (See e.g.

Art Unit: 2165

Experton – col. 4, lines 40-50 and col. 5, lines 15-25).

As for Claim 6, <u>Experton</u> as modified teaches the parent claims. <u>Experton</u> also teaches wherein the data generated is commercial data (See e.g. <u>Experton</u> - col. 3, lines 32-60).

As for Claim 7, Experton teaches:

A system for establishing and retrieving data based on global indices (See e.g. Experton - col. 7, lines 36-51); a network (See e.g. Experton – Figure 1 and col. 1, lines 5-10); a plurality of servers connected to the network for storing data and responding to search requests (See e.g. Experton- Figure 1 and col. 5, line 50- col. 6, line 19); a plurality of data generating devices connected to the servers (See e.g. col. 4, lines 10-25 and col. 10, lines 3-7) and search logic for searching for instances of the unique user ID on any of the plurality of servers (See e.g. Experton – col. 9, lines 10-46 and col. 10, lines 3-52).

Experton does not expressly teach establishing, registering a device ID and establishing a user ID when the user first uses a data generating device. However, Cahill teaches:

wherein each data generating device has a unique ID that is registered with at least one server when the data generating device is first used of the network (See e.g. Cahill – col. 48, lines 12-18);

Art Unit: 2165

unique user ID generator associated with each data generating device whereby a unique user ID is established by combining the unique device ID with a date time stamp of when the user first used any of the plurality of data generating devices on the network (See e.g. <u>Cahill</u> – col. 48, lines 19-21 and Figure 6).

The motivation to combine Experton and Cahill is stated above in Claim 1.

As for Claim 8, <u>Experton</u> as modified teaches the parent Claim 7. <u>Experton</u> also teaches wherein the data generating devices are medical data generating devices (See e.g. Experton – col. 4, lines 40-50 and col. 5, lines 15-25).

As for Claim 9, <u>Experton</u> as modified teaches the parent Claim 7. <u>Experton</u> also teaches further comprising tokens generated by each of the plurality of data generating devices on which is stored each unique user ID (See e.g. <u>Experton</u> col. 4, lines 40-50 and col. 5, lines 15-25).

As for Claim 10, <u>Experton</u> as modified teaches the parent Claim 7. <u>Experton</u> also teaches wherein the data generated is commercial data (See e.g. <u>Experton</u> - col. 3, lines 32-60).

As for Claim 11, <u>Experton</u> as modified teaches the parent Claims 7 and 9.

<u>Experton</u> also teaches wherein each of the plurality of data generating devices further

Art Unit: 2165

comprises a token reader for reading the unique user ID stored on the token of a user (See e.g. Experton col. 4, lines 40-50 and col. 5, lines 15-25).

As for Claim 12, <u>Experton</u> as modified teaches parent Claim 7. <u>Cahill</u> also teaches further comprising data transport logic for transporting data generated from one data generating device to another once the location of the data has been determined by the search logic identifying instances of the unique user ID on any of the plurality of servers (See e.g. Cahill - col. 50, lines 25-61).

As for Claim 13, Experton teaches:

establishing and retrieving data based on global indices (See e.g. <u>Experton</u> - col. 7, lines 36-51), a plurality of data generating devices on the network (See e.g. <u>Experton</u>-col. 4, lines 8-25 and col. 10, lines 3-7) and retrieving data generated by the plurality of data generating devices by searching for instances of the unique record ID (See e.g. <u>Experton</u> - col. 9, lines 10-46 and col. 10, lines 3-52).

<u>Experton</u> does not expressly teach establishing, registering a device ID and establishing a record ID when the record is created. However, <u>Cahill</u> teaches:

establishing a unique device ID for each ... data generating devices on a network (See e.g. <u>Cahill</u> – col. 48, lines 12-18);

registering the unique device ID of each ... data generating devices on the network on at least one server connected to the network when the data generating equipment is first used on the network (See e.g. <u>Cahill</u> – col. 48, lines 12-18);

Art Unit: 2165

establishing a unique record ID for each record of the data generating devices when the record is created using one ...data generating devices for the first time (See e.g. <u>Cahill</u> – col. 49, lines 8-27 and col. 51, lines 21-50).

The motivation to combine Experton and Cahill is stated above in Claim 1.

As for Claim 14, Experton as modified teaches the parent Claim 13. Experton also teaches wherein the records creating is creating records of parts of an assembly (See e.g. Experton- col. 6, lines 39-50).

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Christyann Pulliam whose telephone number is 571-270-1007. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 9 am-6 pm EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jeffrey Gaffin can be reached on 571-272-4146. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/646,350 Page 11

Art Unit: 2165

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

CRFP

SUPERVISORY PAVENT EXAMINER